**RUBRIC FOR ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade |  |  |  |  |
| A | Includes all assigned number of self selected secondary sources.  | Explanation of choices for all the secondary sources is thorough. | Evaluation of all secondary sources is highly insightful. | All sources are formatted correctly in the standard MLA style with fewer than two errors. |
| B | Includes all assigned number of self selected secondary sources. | Explanation of choices for the secondary sources is sufficiently thorough. | Evaluation of all secondary sources is thoughtful, with some insight. | All sources are mostly formatted correctly in the standard MLA style with fewer than five errors. |
| C | Includes all but one assigned number of self selected secondary sources. | Explanation of choices for the source(s) is incomplete. | Evaluation of the secondary source(s) is superficial, with limited insight. | Sources are formatted in the MLA style with more than five errors but fewer than ten errors.  |
| D | Includes all but one assigned number of self selected secondary source. | Explanation of choices for the secondary source(s) is incomplete or sporadically related to the assignment. | Evaluation of the secondary source(s) is unclear and lacks insight. | An attempt is made at formatting sources in the MLA style, but more than ten errors obscures the clarity of the entries. |
| F | Includes one or none of the assigned number of self selected secondary sources. | Lacks explanation of choices for the secondary source or explanation is unrelated to the assignment. | Lacks evaluation of the secondary source or evaluation is unrelated to the assignment. | No apparent attempt at formatting the sources in MLA style. Errors are numerous and sufficiently severe to preclude any clarity. |
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